

Good web resources on Peer Review:

Eli Peer Review: <http://elireview.com/content/td/reviews/> Resources for faculty on designing effective peer review assignments. Some of the presentation is drawn from this material. You don't have to sign up to use their platform to benefit from a study of this website.

Santa Clara University: <http://www.scu.edu/provost/facultycollaborative/drt/assessing/peerreview.cfm> A library of 50+ Digital Resources for Teaching. Among other things, the DRT pages are designed to be an easy on-ramp for faculty interested in learning more about a teaching & learning or educational technical topic, and a catalyst for working with faculty developers to implement a strategy that interests them.

The Manoa Writing Program, University of Hawaii: <http://manoa.hawaii.edu/mwp/faculty/teaching-tips/syllabus-design/writing-activities/peer-review> This website makes the case for using peer review, then walks instructors through steps—from creating evaluation forms, to setting up and facilitating sessions, to getting students to use feedback they receive. Further advice (and more sample forms) can be found under the "Peer groups" link in the left-hand menu bar.

University of Minnesota Center for Writing: <http://writing.umn.edu/tww/responding/peerworkshop.html> A helpful page "creating effective peer response workshops" addresses the benefits for students and instructors, as well as noting dangers that can make a workshop. Also contains details on workshop logistics and how much can be reasonably covered in different allotted time lengths.

The Sweetland Center for Writing, University of Michigan:

<http://www.lsa.umich.edu/sweetland/instructors/teachingresources/usingpeerreviewtoimprovestudentwriting> Detailed resources on using peer review to improve student writing, addressing many concrete issues of planning and strategy, plus there are several supplements with guidelines for giving feedback, facilitating the workshops, etc. Useful for instructors interested in using peer review in more sustained way in their teaching.

Resources on Peer-Review Across the Disciplines:

<http://writing.colostate.edu/guides/teaching/fys/peerreview.cfm> Although housed in the writing area of this site, most of the tips and suggestions would apply to any type of project in various disciplines.

<http://www.scu.edu/provost/facultycollaborative/drt/assessing/peerreview.cfm>

<http://www.ecu.edu/cs-acad/writing/wac/upload/Improving-Student-Peer-Feedback.pdf>

<https://rebuild.lsa.umich.edu/psot/> (scroll down to 10:15 header.)

For STEM classrooms and applicable to other disciplines as well:

<http://www.lifescied.org/content/12/4/618.short> might be of interest. See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl_Wieman for info on the last author.

There was also an article in the Chronicle that talked about this method of classroom observation. Here is that article: <http://chronicle.com/article/Dissecting-the-Classroom/144647/>

Patchan, M. M., Hawk, B. H., Stevens, C. A., & Schunn, C. D. (2013). The effects of skill diversity on commenting and revisions. *Instructional Science*, 41(2), 381-405.

<http://www.lrdc.pitt.edu/schunn/research/papers/patchanhawkstevensschunn.pdf>

Patchan, M. M., Schunn, C.D., & Russell, R. (2011). Writing in natural sciences: Understanding the effects of different types of reviewers on the writing process. *Journal of Writing Research*, 2(3), 365-393.
http://www.lrdc.pitt.edu/schunn/research/papers/JoWR_2011_vol2_nr3_Patchan_et_al.pdf

Kaufman, J. H., & Schunn, C. D. (2011). Students' perceptions about peer assessment for writing: Their origin and impact on revision work. *Instructional Science*, 39(3), 387-406.
<http://www.lrdc.pitt.edu/schunn/research/papers/KaufmanSchunn-StudentPerceptions-.pdf>

Patchan, M. M., Charney, D., & Schunn, C. D. (2009). A validation study of students' end comments: Comparing comments by students, a writing instructor, and a content instructor. *Journal of Writing Research*, 1(2), 124-152. http://www.lrdc.pitt.edu/schunn/research/papers/JoWR_2009_voll_nr2_Patchan_et_al.pdf

Cho, K., Schunn, C. D., & Charney, D. (2006). Commenting on writing: Typology and perceived helpfulness of comments from novice peer reviewers and subject matter experts. *Written Communication*, 23(3), 260-294. <http://www.lrdc.pitt.edu/schunn/research/papers/ChoSchunnCharney2006@WC.pdf>

Cho, K., Schunn, C. D., & Wilson, R. (2006). Validity and reliability of scaffolded peer assessment of writing from instructor and student perspectives. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98(4), 891-901. <http://www.lrdc.pitt.edu/schunn/research/papers/cho-schunn-wilson2006.pdf>

Articles:

Tuten, Holly and Lesly Temesvari. "Popular Science Journalism: Facilitating Learning Through Peer Review and Communication of Science News." *Journal of College Science Teaching* 42.4 (2013): pp. 46-50. Print.

Hattie, John and Helen Timperley. "The Power of Feedback." *Review of Educational Research* 77.1 (March 2007): pp. 81-112. Print.

Barst, Julie M. Brooks, April, Cempellin, Leda, and Barb Kleinjan. "Peer Review Across the Disciplines: Improving Student Performance in the Honors Humanities Classroom." *Honors in Practice* (2011): pp. 127-136. Print.